

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/700,178	11/03/2003	Cristina Manu	03797.00622	3590	
28319	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.,			TSAI, CAROL S W		
ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT 1001 G STREET , N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ELEVENTH STREET			2857		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 03/03/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	e \					
1 nevil		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
will lement	in Antinu Communicati	10/700,178	MANU ET AL.			
Zon () On	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Carol S. Tsai	2857			
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'S DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of 37 CFR 1.13 preply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and by the Office later than three months after the mailing form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠ Respor	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
• —						
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Pap	ers					
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The dra	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	b) Some * c) None of:					
1. 🗆 (Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. 🔲 (Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
3.□ (Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/M		6) Other: .				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

"202" at page 6, paragraph 20, line 3.

"700" at page 8, paragraph 29, line 1.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

"212" in Fig. 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood:

"Probability curve 302 suggests that parameter values of 2, 4 and 6 are of high interest and the parameter value of 5 is of relatively low interest" described at page 6, paragraph 22, line 4-5 is not understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner what is meant by "parameter value of 2, 4, and 6"because Applicant did not provide a clear and specific definition in Specification disclosed for the Examiner to understand what is meant by "parameter" in applicants' claimed invention.

"User interface 700 includes a first region 702 that displays an execution matrix of parameter combinations. An input icon 704 is displayed in a second region. A software module 706 may be represented in another region. A user may test a particular parameter value combination by selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704. After the software module has operated on the parameter value combination, the results of the operation may be displayed in an output region 708." described at

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

pages 8-9, paragraph 29, lines 2-8 is understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner how a particular parameter value combination can be tested after selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner can understand the invention and may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims 1-17 recite no clearly defined practical application of the claimed method or do not draw a conclusion as to the final end result of testing a software module with parameter combinations. Additionally, the method claims do not fall into either of the "safe harbors" defined in the Guidelines for Computer-Implemented Inventions in that there is no manipulation of measured data representing physical objects or activities to achieve a practical application (pre-computer process activity) or the performance of independent physical acts (post-computer process activity). The examiner submits that the claimed process merely solves a model mathematical problem without limitation to a practical application.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

Claims 1-17 recites signal analysis that is not tied to any physical structure for converting the probability curves into probability function, combining the probability functions into a combination function, selecting parameter value combinations, and receiving an indication from a user. The Examiner submits that the claimed method consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims, 1, 14, and 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "parameter" since there is no clear and specific definition provided in Applicants' disclosure.

In claim 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "receiving an indication from a user", since there is no clear and specific indication disclosed in Applicants' Specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

Contact Information

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. W. Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1585 or (571) 272-2800.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2857

02/28/05